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## APPLICATION NOTE

ATX power supply units (PSU) require a standby section who keeps alive some particular areas of the motherboard. Among the live sections are the USB ports, the Ethernet controller and so on. The INTEL ATX Power Supply Design Guide 2.01 (rev. June 04) describes the standby voltage rail needed for this purpose. This is the +5.0 VSB section (3.3.3):

- Output voltage: $5.0 \mathrm{~V}, \pm 5 \%$
- Nominal load current: 2.0 A
- 500 ms pulses current (USB wake-up event): 2.5 A
- Input power less than 1.0 W at 230 Vac for an output power of 500 mW
- Power on time: 2.0 s maximum
- Short circuit protection with auto-recovery

On top of these requirements, most of PSU designers use the standby power supply as an auxiliary rail to power the main controller. This is an auxiliary $12-13 \mathrm{~V}$ rail or, if an UC384X is implemented, this rail can go up to 20 V . When put in standby, a small switch shuts down the controller by interrupting this rail.

To help designers quickly fulfilling the above needs, the NCP1027 has been introduced. This DIP 8 package hosts a high performance controller together with a low $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{DS}(\mathrm{on})}$ 700 V BVdss MOSFET. On top of the standby needs, we have packed other interesting goodies in this circuit. They are summarized below:

- Brown-out detection: the controller will not allow operation in low mains conditions. You can adjust the level at which the circuit starts or stops operation.
- Ramp compensation: designing in Continuous Conduction Mode helps to reduce conduction losses. However, at low input voltage ( 85 Vac ), the duty-cycle might exceed $50 \%$, and the risk exists to enter a
subharmonic mode. A simple resistor to ground injects the right compensation level.
- Over Power Protection: a resistive network to the bulk reduces the peak current capability and accordingly harnesses the maximum power at high line. As this is done independently from the auxiliary $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CC}}$, the design gains in simplicity and execution speed.
- Latch-off input: some PC manufacturers require a complete latch-off in presence of an external event, e.g. overtemperature. The controller offers this possibility via a dedicated input.
- Frequency dithering: the switching frequency (here 65 kHz ) is modulated during operation. This naturally spreads the harmonic content and reduces the peak value when analyzing the signature.


## Design Description

A full CCM operation gave us an adequate performance in this particular case, with good full load efficiency results as we will see. The part switches at 65 kHz which represents a good trade-off between switching losses and EMI control. A brown-out circuit was implemented, turning the SMPS on around 80 Vac and turning it off at 60 Vac . Different values can easily be selected by altering the dedicated resistive network. Please note that this network impedance has a direct influence on the standby power. To limit the amount of current the supply can deliver at high line, it is necessary to limit the propagation delay effects. The NCP1027 hosts an exclusive circuitry used to reduce the maximum peak current as the line increases. We will see that, once implemented around the auxiliary diode, it does not affect the standby power and nicely harnesses the maximum power. The electrical schematic of the board appears on Figure 1.


Figure 1. The application board electrical schematic without the EMI filter for simpler representation.

Let us start the review by the transformer description.

## Transformer

The design of the transformer section represents the most difficult part as standby power in no-load and 0.5 W output must be respected. Various iterations have lead us to adopt the following characteristics:
$\mathrm{Lp}=3.4 \mathrm{mH}$
Np:Ns_power = 1:0.06
Np:Ns_aux = 1:0.152
The auxiliary winding in this case delivers 12.5 V but it can be set to any other value, depending on the main controller $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CC}}$. The turn ratio limits the reflected value on the drain to less than 100 V , without risks of biasing the MOSFET body diode at the lowest input voltage. This transformer is available from Coilcraft under a reference detailed in the Bill Of Material (BOM).

As we have seen, our design operates in CCM at full load but obviously enters the Discontinuous Conduction Mode (DCM) at light loads. The transition point can be evaluated using the following formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\mathrm{C}}=2 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{~N}^{2} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{SW}}\left(\frac{N V_{\text {in }}+V_{\text {out }}}{N V_{\text {in }}}\right)^{2} \tag{eq.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{p}}$, the primary inductance, 3.4 mH
N , the turn ratio, 0.06
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{sw}}$, the switching frequency, 65 kHz
$\mathrm{V}_{\text {in }}$, the input voltage
$V_{\text {out }}$, the output voltage
Calculations lead to the following mode transition load values:

Lowest line, $V_{\text {in }}=120 \mathrm{Vdc}: R_{c}=4.56 \Omega$ or Iout $=1.0 \mathrm{~A}$
Highest line, $V_{i n}=370 \mathrm{Vdc}: R_{c}=2.4 \Omega$ or Iout $=2.0 \mathrm{~A}$
Please note that low line is actually 85 Vac but the ATX PSU including a large bulk capacitor, we can neglect the ripple given the low output power of this converter, hence a 120 V value. At the highest line, the design operates at the boundary between CCM and DCM. The duty-cycle at full load and lowest input line can be evaluated via the flyback static transfer function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\frac{V_{\text {out }}}{N V_{\text {in }}+V_{\text {out }}} \tag{eq.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The highest duty-cycle is found to be $41 \%$. However, this number is likely to increase a little, given the presence of the leakage inductance.

## Ramp Compensation

Being in CCM with a duty-cycle close to $50 \%$ (or above $50 \%$ in transient conditions), we need ramp compensation. Several ways exist to evaluate the amount of ramp compensation. The quickest one evaluates the off slope of the secondary inductance and injects around $50 \%$ of this slope through a compensating $\operatorname{ramp}\left(S_{a}\right)$ into the controller. With the NCP1027, the ramp compensation level is set via a simple resistor connected from pin 2 to the ground, as shown in Figure 1.

We can calculate the off slope, the one actually needed to evaluate $S_{a}$, by reflecting the output voltage over the primary inductance. The slope is projected over a complete switching period.

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\text {off }}=\frac{V_{\text {out }}+V_{f}}{N L_{p}} T_{\text {Sw }}=\frac{6 \times 15 \mu}{0.06 \times 3.4 \mathrm{~m}}=441 \mathrm{~mA} / 15 \mu \mathrm{~s} \tag{eq.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The NCP1027 features a current-mode architecture using a SENSEFET ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ device. That is to say, the controller does not directly sense the current via a resistor but through a Kelvin cell. For this particular circuit, the cell ratio can be modeled as an equivalent sense resistor of $350 \mathrm{~m} \Omega$. This current slope will thus become a voltage slope having a value of:

$$
S_{\text {off }}^{\prime}=0.441 \times 0.375=165 \mathrm{mV} / 15 \mu \mathrm{~s} \quad \text { (eq. 4) }
$$

If we chose $50 \%$ of this down slope, then the final compensation ramp will present a slope of:

$$
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\mathrm{S}^{\prime} \text { off }}{2}=83 \mathrm{mV} / 15 \mu \mathrm{~s}=5.53 \mathrm{kV} / \mathrm{s} \quad \text { (eq. 5) }
$$

Following the data sheet indications, we evaluate the resistor value to be:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\text {ramp }}=\frac{7562}{0.083}=91 \mathrm{k} \tag{eq.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In case no ramp compensation is required, pin 2 must be tied to $V_{C C}$, the adjacent pin. As experiments were carried at lower input voltages ( $C_{b u l k}$ is small on the demo board), it was decided to slightly increase the amount of ramp compensation by reducing $R_{\text {ramp }}$ to $78 \mathrm{k} \Omega$.

## Over Power Protection (OPP)

Power supply controllers sensing the primary current to check whether it goes over a certain limit often face propagation delay problems. That is to say, despite the current limit detection by a dedicated comparator, the information takes a certain amount of time to propagate through the logic circuits and eventually reset the latch. During this time, the primary current keeps increasing by a rate given by the primary inductance and the input voltage. Hence, we can quickly see the effects of a 100 ns delay at high line or low line:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\text {final }}=I_{\text {peak_max }}+\frac{V_{\text {in }}}{L_{p}} t_{\text {prop }} \tag{eq.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the limit is set to 750 mA , then we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{\text {final }}=750 \mathrm{~m}+\frac{100}{3.4 \mathrm{~m}} 100 \mathrm{n}=753 \mathrm{~mA}  \tag{eq.8}\\
& I_{\text {final }}=750 \mathrm{~m}+\frac{374}{3.4 \mathrm{~m}} 100 \mathrm{n}=761 \mathrm{~mA} \tag{eq.9}
\end{align*}
$$

The difference looks small but it often leads to a significant different in output current capabilities, especially with larger propagation delays. Hence, the need to act in high line conditions via a dedicated circuitry.

The NCP1027 hosts a special section used to reduce the maximum peak current limit as the mains increases. The system works by connecting a resistive network to the bulk capacitor, as Figure 2 depicts:


Figure 2. A possible option to reduce the peak excursion consists of connecting a resistive divider to the bulk capacitor.

By injecting a current $I_{b 3}$ proportional to $V_{b u l k}$, the maximum peak current limits reduces. Analytically obtaining the right value for $I_{b 3}$ might represent a complicated exercise as many parameters play a role. To the opposite, a simple experimental method can be setup to obtain the value of the needed current:

1. Configure your working power supply as suggested by Figure 3 or 4 . These figures are purposely simplified for ease of understanding of the added circuitry. Figure 3 represents the safest way to run the measurement as the dc power supply injects current via an optoisolator without sharing the converter's ground. An amp-meter is inserted to read the current $I_{b 3}$. Leave the dc bias to zero for now.
2. Power the converter and set the input voltage to the highest of your specification. Let us assume it is 375 Vdc .
3. Increase the output current to the point where the system should shut down per specification. Let's say 3.2 A for this design.
4. Start to increase the variable power supply dc voltage until the amp-meter deviates. Increase carefully because you deal with hundred of $\mu \mathrm{A}$ only.
5. At a certain time, if you continue to increase the current in pin 7, the converter enters in protection mode. The current flowing in pin 7 and the voltage on it prior to the shutdown, correspond to the variables you look for. In this example, we measured a $V_{f}$ voltage of 2.45 V and a current of $31 \mu \mathrm{~A}$.


Figure 3. An isolated way to safely inject current into pin 7 at high line.


Figure 4. A non-isolated way to inject current into pin 7 at high line.

The preliminary curve showing the relationship between the injected current and the maximum peak current setpoint appears in Figure 5. We can see that $31 \mu \mathrm{~A}$ corresponds to
a $20 \%$ reduction in the maximum peak current capability. The $V_{f}$ parameter specifies the voltage at which pin 7 starts to pump in current. It is around 2.5 V as we can see it.


Figure 5. Maximum Peak Current Setpoint Reduction

## vs. Pin 7 Injected Current

To compute the resistor values, we need to define the range within which the OPP reduction should activate. As we do not want any OPP action at low input voltages, we will select resistors to start reducing at $V_{i n}=200 \mathrm{Vdc}$, with a clamp at $V_{i n}=375 \mathrm{Vdc}$. Using the following equations and our collected data leads us to the final values:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
V_{\text {bulkH }} & =375 \mathrm{Vdc} \\
V_{\text {bulkL }} & =200 \mathrm{Vdc} \\
I_{\text {OPP }} & =31 \mu \mathrm{~A} \\
V_{f} & =2.45 \mathrm{~V} \\
& \text { ROPPL }=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\text {bulkH }}-\mathrm{V}_{\text {bulkL }}}{\operatorname{lOPP}\left(\mathrm{V}_{\text {bulkL }}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{f}}=70 \mathrm{k} \Omega \quad \text { (eq. 10) } \\
& \\
& \text { ROPPH }=\text { ROPPL }^{\mathrm{V}_{\text {bulkL }}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{f}}} \frac{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{f}}}{}=5.6 \mathrm{M} \Omega \quad \text { (eq. 11) }
\end{array}
$$

Unfortunately, despite the good behavior of the network, its permanent presence on the bulk rail will affect the consumption in standby. When you chase every hidden milli-watt, it can become a nasty problem. To avoid this trouble, a simple solution around the auxiliary winding can be worked out. This is presented in Figure 6. During the on time, where the power switch is turned on, the input voltage appears across the primary transformer. Given the auxiliary diode configuration, $N . V_{\text {in }}+V_{\text {out }}$ also appears on the cathode, $N$ being the primary to secondary turn ratio. As this voltage moves up and down with the bulk level, we can perfectly use it for our OPP purposes. Due to its pulsating low voltage nature, power consumption will be the smallest.


Figure 6. The auxiliary diode lends itself very well to a cheap and energy efficient OPP implementation.

Hooking an oscilloscope probe on $D_{4}$ cathode gives us the bulk image evolution between its minimum and maximum values. We can then run the calculation again to obtain $R_{O P P H}$ and $R_{O P P L}$ :

| $V_{\text {bulkH }}$ | $=55 \mathrm{Vdc}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $V_{\text {bulkL }}$ | $=37 \mathrm{Vdc}$ |
| $I_{O P P}$ | $=31 \mu \mathrm{~A}$ |
| $V_{f}$ | $=2.45 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| $R_{O P P H}$ | $=580 \mathrm{k} \Omega \rightarrow 560 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ after tweak |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{OPPL}}$ | $=41 \mathrm{k} \Omega \rightarrow 47 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ after tweak |

Figure 7 shows the results before and after OPP implementation. The output current stays below 3.5 A in all cases which is well within specs.


Figure 7. Over Power Protection at work keeps the output current below 3.5 A.

## Brown-out

Brown-out (BO) detection offers a means to protect the converter in presence of low input voltages by stopping switching operation until the mains comes back to a normal value. The circuit works by observing a fraction of the bulk level via a resistive divider routed to pin 2. When the level on pin 2 lies below 0.6 V , the controller does not allow switching operation, but the high-voltage current source maintains the $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CC}}$ on pin 1. Then, as soon as pin 2 voltage crosses 0.6 V , the $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CC}}$ is ready to power the chip and switching can start. As this occurs, pin 2 injects around $12 \mu \mathrm{~A}\left(I_{B O}\right)$ in the resistive bridge to create a hysteresis. The designer must thus select the turn-on and turn-off voltages to further apply the following equations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
R_{\text {upper }}=R_{\text {lower }} \frac{V_{\text {bulk1 }}-V_{\mathrm{BO}}}{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{BO}}}  \tag{eq.12}\\
\mathrm{R}_{\text {lower }}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BO}} \frac{\mathrm{~V}_{\text {bulk1 }}-\mathrm{V}_{\text {bulk2 }}}{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{BO}} \times\left(\mathrm{V}_{\text {bulk1 }}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{BO}}\right)} \tag{eq.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Suppose we want to start at $\mathrm{V}_{\text {in }}=110 \mathrm{Vdc}(77 \mathrm{Vac})$ and stop operation at $\mathrm{V}_{\text {in }}=70 \mathrm{Vdc}(50 \mathrm{Vac})$, then applying Equations 12 and 13 leads to the following values:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
R_{\text {upper }} & =4.0 \mathrm{M} \Omega \\
R_{\text {lower }} & =22 \mathrm{k} \Omega
\end{array}
$$

Total power dissipation at nominal line is then $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{BO}}=\frac{330^{2}}{4.02 \mathrm{Meg}}=27 \mathrm{~mW}$.
On the board, we purposely modified these values to further improve the standby power.

## Auxiliary Winding

The auxiliary winding is set to deliver 13 V nominal when the converter is fully loaded. To avoid any $V_{C C}$ drops during transient loading, e.g. sudden load removal, the main $V_{C C}$ capacitor $C_{7}$ has been increased to $100 \mu \mathrm{~F}$, leading to a stable $V_{C C}$ in no-load conditions. The resistor $R_{7}$ sets the Overvoltage Protection (OVP) level by adjusting the injected current in pin 1 internal shunt in case of problems. With $1.0 \mathrm{k} \Omega$, the OVP level is set to VOVP $=1.0 \mathrm{k} \cdot 7.0 \mathrm{~m}+8.7=15.7 \mathrm{~V}$ typical on the auxiliary winding. Different values can be obtained by changing the resistor values or the ratio between the power and auxiliary windings.

## Clamping Section

The converter uses an RCD clamp to safely limit the voltage excursion on the MOSFET drain. Failure to keep $V_{d s}(t)$ below 700 V will permanently damage the circuit. In application where the input line can be subject to strong high-voltage parasitic pulses, we recommend the usage of a Transient Voltage Suppressor (TVS), that will hard clamp all potentially lethal spikes on the drain. Figure 8 portrays the way to wire the TVS. Finally, the TVS offers superior performance in standby power compared to the RCD clamp. Simply because the RCD clamp always activates since the capacitor discharges via the resistor R as soon the leakage inductance is reset. Unfortunately, even in standby, this mechanism generates light losses. If you want to further save 50 mW , a TVS is of good usage. A 200 V TVS has shown to be a good solution here. You can use the 1.5 KE 200 A from ON Semiconductor for instance.


Figure 8. A TVS must be used if the input voltage can be subject to high voltage spikes.


Figure 9. Always check the voltage on the drain at the highest line condition ( 265 Vac ).

## Secondary Side

The secondary side uses a Schottky diode featuring an 8.0 A capability and a breakdown voltage of 35 V . This is enough since high line conditions imply a Peak Inverse Voltage (PIV) of:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { PIV }=N V_{\text {in }}+V_{\text {out }}=0.06 \times 370+5=27.2 V \tag{eq.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The forward voltage $V_{f}$ goes down to $0.41 \mathrm{~V} @ \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{j}}=125^{\circ}$ which, neglecting ohmic losses, induces conduction losses of:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {diode }}=I_{R M S}{ }^{2} R_{d}+l_{\mathrm{avg}} V_{f} \approx 2 \times 0.41=0.8 \mathrm{~W} \tag{eq.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The output capacitor $C_{\text {out }}$ is selected to a) pass the adequate RMS current b) limit the undershoot $\Delta \mathrm{V}$ when the output is banged by a current step $\Delta \mathrm{I}$. The undershoot depth of a closed-loop converter having a bandwidth $f_{c}$ can be evaluated via the following formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta V=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{l}}{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{C}_{\text {out }}} \tag{eq.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Calculations gave us a value of 2.4 mF , made of two low-impedance $10 \mathrm{~V} / 1200 \mu \mathrm{~F}$ capacitors.

A TL431 ensures a stable regulation at 5.0 V via a type-2 amplifier. $R_{3}$ and the NCP1027 internal pullup resistor set the midband gain, whereas $C_{4}$ sets the zero position. The small capacitor $C_{9}$ filters out residual noise and adds a high frequency pole, acting together with the optocoupler one. The step load response is clean, without any ringing at both high and low line conditions. The circuit can be slightly modified to add some more soft-start, in case designers would fear output overshoots. Figure 10 shows how to connect a $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~F} / 10 \mathrm{~V}$ capacitor to soften the start-up sequence:


Figure 10. A $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~F}$ capacitor connected on the TL431 strengthens the startup sequence.

The basic description being done, let us have a look at the board performance. Standby measurements were captured with a Yokogawa WT210 on a board after it warmed up for 15 minutes. Please make sure the WT210 volt-mater is placed before the current shunt, otherwise its input impedance will degrade the low-power measurements by 30 mW at high line.

## Static Measurements

$\mathrm{V}_{\text {in }}=230 \mathrm{Vac}$
$\mathrm{T}_{\text {ambient }}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

| $\mathrm{P}_{\text {out }}=0$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {in }}=88 \mathrm{~mW}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{\text {aux }}=10.14 \mathrm{~V}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\text {out }}=0.5 \mathrm{~W}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {in }}=779 \mathrm{~mW}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{\text {aux }}=11.64 \mathrm{~V}$ | $\eta=64.2 \%$ |
| $\mathrm{P}_{\text {out }}=10 \mathrm{~W}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {in }}=12.3 \mathrm{~W}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{\text {aux }}=12.14 \mathrm{~V}$ | $\eta=81.3 \%$ |

$\rightarrow$ Please note that replacing $\mathrm{R}_{6}$ by a 200 V TVS as mentioned in the text, reduces the input power at $0.5 \mathrm{~W} @ 230$ Vac down to 715 mW and 73 mW at no load.
$\mathrm{P}_{\text {out }}=0 \quad \mathrm{P}_{\text {in }}=98 \mathrm{~mW}$ at $\mathrm{V}_{\text {in }}=265$ Vac with RCD
$\mathrm{P}_{\text {out }}=0 \quad \mathrm{P}_{\text {in }}=85 \mathrm{~mW}$ at $\mathrm{V}_{\text {in }}=265$ Vac with TVS
$\mathrm{T}_{\text {case }} \mathrm{NCP} 1027=50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $\mathrm{P}_{\text {out }}=10 \mathrm{~W}$
Short-circuit temperature: NCP1027 $\mathrm{T}_{\text {case }}=42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{T}_{\text {diode }}=52^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Maximum output current: 3.0 A @ 265 Vac
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{in}}=85 \mathrm{Vac}$
$\mathrm{T}_{\text {ambient }}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathrm{P}_{\text {out }}=0 \quad \mathrm{P}_{\text {in }}=54 \mathrm{~mW} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{\text {aux }}=10.15 \mathrm{~V}$
$\mathrm{P}_{\text {out }}=0.5 \mathrm{~W} \quad \mathrm{P}_{\text {in }}=704 \mathrm{~mW} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{\text {aux }}=11.6 \mathrm{~V} \quad \eta=71 \%$
$\mathrm{P}_{\text {out }}=10 \mathrm{~W} \quad \mathrm{P}_{\text {in }}=12.6 \mathrm{~W} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{\text {aux }}=12.6 \mathrm{~V} \quad \eta=79.5 \%$
$\mathrm{T}_{\text {case }} \mathrm{NCP} 1027=60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $\mathrm{P}_{\text {out }}=10 \mathrm{~W}$
Short-circuit temperature: $\mathrm{T}_{\text {case }} \mathrm{NCP} 1027=44^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{T}_{\text {diode }}=52^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Maximum output current: 3.1 A

## Dynamic Measurements

Some critical waveforms have been captured on the demonstration board and are reproduced below:


Figure 11. Short-Circuit Protection, Drain-Source Waveform

In Figure 11, we can see the power supply operating in a so-called hiccup mode, trying to re-start as soon as the internal timer has elapsed. The resulting duty-burst stays
below $8 \%$, keeping all component temperatures at a moderate level. This is an auto-recovery type of protection, implying a re-start when the fault is removed $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{in}}=230 \mathrm{Vac}\right)$.
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Figure 12. Startup Sequence at Three Loading Conditions

Figure 12 shows a typical startup sequence, captured at different output levels $(0,0.5 \mathrm{~W}$ and 10 W$)$ for $\mathrm{V}_{\text {in }}=85 \mathrm{Vac}$. Changing the input voltage does not modify the shape of the
waveform. This waveform has been captured with the $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~F}$ wired as suggested by Figure 10 .


Figure 13. Load step where $\mathrm{V}_{\text {out }}$ is banged from 0.1 A to 2.5 A with a 1.0 A/ $\mu \mathrm{s}$ slew-rate $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{in}}=85 \mathrm{Vac}\right)$.
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Figure 14. Load step where $\mathrm{V}_{\text {out }}$ is banged from 0.1 A to 2.5 A with a 1.0 A/ $\mu \mathrm{s}$ slew-rate ( $\mathrm{V}_{\text {in }}=230 \mathrm{Vac}$ ).

Figures 13 and 14 represent the step load response from the standby mode to the wake-up mode, featuring a variation from 100 mA up to 2.5 A . The spike you can see
on the waveforms comes from the current discontinuity indured by the LC filter inductor $L_{1}$.


Figure 15. Peak-to-peak ripple, $\mathrm{P}_{\text {out }}=0.5 \mathrm{~W}$, Cable length $=\mathbf{3 0} \mathrm{cm}, \mathrm{V}_{\text {in }}=85$ Vac.
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Figure 16. Peak-to-peak ripple, $P_{\text {out }}=0.5 \mathrm{~W}$, Cable length $=30 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{~V}_{\text {in }}=230$ Vac.

Figures 15 and 16 display the ripple amplitude when the board enters skip cycle, mainly at a 0.5 W output power. The
measurement has been carried at two line levels and using 30 cm long cables to mimic a real PSU cabling connector.


Figure 17. EMI signature, low line and high line in quasi-peak, $P_{\text {out }}=10 \mathrm{~W}$.

Figure 17 shows the advantage of EMI jittering, offering a clean signature at both line levels. As the sweep is
successfully made in Quasi-Peak (QP) it implies immediate compliance in average mode.
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Figure 18. Peak Output Current in Short-Circuit at Both Line Conditions: 6.3 A


Figure 19. Output Current Waveform in Short-Circuit

Some PC application specs require the output average and RMS currents in short-circuit to stay within given limits. With this design, we have obtained the following results at high-line:

- $I_{\text {peak }}=6.4 \mathrm{~A}$
- $\mathrm{I}_{\text {out, av }}=6.4 \times 54 / 676=511 \mathrm{~mA}$
- $I_{\text {out,rms }}=6.4 \sqrt{\frac{54}{676}}=1.8 \mathrm{~A}$

РСB Views
The PCB routing includes large copper areas around the integrated circuit to maximize its power dissipation. The
diode is placed on the copper side and due to its low $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{f}}$, it leads to good thermal performance.


Figure 20. The PCB Copper Area of the Demonstration Board


Figure 21. The SMD Positions on the Copper Side


Figure 22. Component Side View
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Bill of Material

| Designator | Quantity | Description | Value | Tolerance | Footprint | Manufacturer | Manufacturer Part Number | Substitution Allowed | Lead <br> Free |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B1 | 1 | Single-Phase Bridge Rectifier | 800 V | NA |  | General Semiconductor | DF08M | Yes | No |
| C1,C2 | 2 | Radial Lead Electrolityc Capacitor | $1200 \mu \mathrm{~F} / 16 \mathrm{~V}$ | 20\% |  | Panasonic | EEUFC1C122 | Yes |  |
| C10 | 1 | Radial Lead Electrolityc Capacitor | $47 \mu \mathrm{~F} / 400 \mathrm{~V}$ | 20\% |  | Panasonic | ECA2GHG470 | Yes |  |
| C11 | 1 | Capacitor, Y1 Class | $2.2 \mathrm{nF} / 400 \mathrm{~V}$ | 20\% |  | Vishay | WKP222 | No |  |
| C12 | 1 | Capacitor, X2 Class | $220 \mathrm{nF} / 275 \mathrm{~V}$ | 20\% |  | Evox Rifa | PHE840MX6220M | No |  |
| C13 | 1 | Radial Lead Electrolityc Capacitor | $1 \mu \mathrm{~F} / 50 \mathrm{~V}$ | 20\% |  | Panasonic | EEUFC1H1R0 | Yes |  |
| C3 | 1 | Radial Lead Electrolityc Capacitor | $220 \mu \mathrm{~F} / 16 \mathrm{~V}$ | 20\% |  | Panasonic | EEUFC1C221 | Yes |  |
| C4 | 1 | SMD Capacitor | 100 nF | 10\% | 1206 | Epcos | B37872-K5104-K60 | Yes |  |
| C5 | 1 | Polyester Chip Capacitor | $10 \mathrm{nF} / 630 \mathrm{~V}$ | 10\% |  | Vishay | MKT1822-310 | Yes |  |
| C6 | 1 | SMD Capacitor | 10 nF | 10\% | 1206 | Epcos | B37872-K5103-K60 | Yes |  |
| C7 | 1 | Radial Lead Electrolityc Capacitor | $10 \mu \mathrm{~F} / 63 \mathrm{~V}$ | 20\% |  | Elna | RE3-63V100M | Yes |  |
| C8 | 1 | Radial Lead Electrolityc Capacitor | $100 \mu \mathrm{~F} / 10 \mathrm{~V}$ | 20\% |  | Panasonic | EEUFC1A101 | Yes |  |
| C9 | 1 | SMD Capacitor | 1 nF | 10\% | 1206 | Epcos | B37872-K5102-K60 | Yes |  |
| D1 | 1 | Schottky Barrier Rectifier | $35 \mathrm{~V} / 8 \mathrm{~A}$ | NA | DPAK | ON Semiconductor | MBRD835L | Yes | Yes |
| D2,D4 | 2 | Fast-Recovery Rectifier | $600 \mathrm{~V} / 1 \mathrm{~A}$ | NA |  | ON Semiconductor | 1N4937 | Yes | Yes |
| J1 | 1 | PCB Connector | NA | NA |  | Multicomp | JR-201S | Yes |  |
| J2 | 1 | PCB Connector | NA | NA |  | Weidmuller | PM5.08 | Yes |  |
| L1 | 1 | Inductor, $2.2 \mu \mathrm{H}, 2.5 \mathrm{~A}$ | $2.2 \mu \mathrm{H}$ | NA |  | Wurth Elektonic | 744772022 | Yes |  |
| L2 | 1 | Common Mode Inductor, 2*27 mH | 27 mH | NA |  | Schaffner | RN114-0,8/02 | Yes |  |
| R1 | 1 | Axial Lead Resistor 1/4w | $10 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ | 5\% |  | Neohm | CFR25J10K0 | Yes |  |
| R10 | 1 | Axial Lead Resistor 1/4w | $2.8 \mathrm{M} \Omega$ | 5\% |  | Neohm | CFR25J2M8 | Yes |  |
| R11 | 1 | Axial Lead Resistor 1/4w | $2.2 \mathrm{M} \Omega$ | 5\% |  | Neohm | CFR25J2M2 | Yes |  |
| R13 | 1 | Axial Lead Resistor 1/4w | $47 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ | 5\% |  | Neohm | CFR25J47K0 | Yes |  |
| R14 | 1 | Axial Lead Resistor 1/4w | $560 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ | 5\% |  | Neohm | CFR25J560K | Yes |  |
| R2 | 1 | SMD Resistor | $10 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ | 1\% | 1206 | Vishay | CRCW1206101J | Yes |  |
| R3 | 1 | SMD Resistor | $100 \Omega$ | 1\% | 1206 | Vishay | CRCW1206100RJ | Yes |  |
| R4 | 1 | Axial Lead Resistor 1/4w | $680 \Omega$ | 5\% |  | Neohm | CFR25J680 | Yes |  |
| R5 | 1 | Axial Lead Resistor 1/4w | 47 | 5\% |  | Neohm | CFR25J47 | Yes |  |
| R6 | 1 | Axial Lead Resistor 1w | $150 \mathrm{k} \Omega / 1 \mathrm{~W}$ | 5\% |  | Neohm | CFR100J150K0 | Yes |  |
| R7 | 1 | Axial Lead Resistor 1/4w | $1 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ | 5\% |  | Neohm | CFR25J1K0 | Yes |  |
| R8 | 1 | SMD Resistor | $78 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ | 1\% | 1206 | Vishay | CRCW1206781J | Yes |  |
| R9 | 1 | SMD Resistor | $27 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ | 1\% | 1206 | Vishay | CRCW1206271J | Yes |  |
| U1 | 1 | NCP1027 | NA | NA | DIP8 | ON Semiconductor | NCP1027 | No | Yes |
| U2 | 1 | Adjustable Shunt Regulator | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5-36 \mathrm{~V} / \\ & 1-100 \mathrm{~mA} \end{aligned}$ | 2.20\% | TO92 | ON Semiconductor | TL431 | No | Yes |
| U3 | 1 | Optocoupler | NA | NA | DIP4 | Vishay | SFH615A | No |  |
| T1 | 1 | Transformer | NA | NA |  | Coilcraft | DA2077-AL | No |  |

SENSEFET is a registered trademark of Semiconductor Components Industries, LLC (SCILLC).
ON Semiconductor and 0 IN registered trademarks of Semiconductor Components Industries, LLC (SCILLC). SCILLC reserves the right to make changes without further notice to any products herein. SCILLC makes no warranty, representation or guarantee regarding the suitability of its products for any particular purpose, nor does SCILLC assume any liability arising out of the application or use of any product or circuit, and specifically disclaims any and all liability, including without limitation special, consequential or incidental damages. "Typical" parameters which may be provided in SCILLC data sheets and/or specifications can and do vary in different applications and actual performance may vary over time. All operating parameters, including "Typicals" must be validated for each customer application by customer's technical experts. SCILLC does not convey any license under its patent rights nor the rights of others. SCILLC products are not designed, intended, or authorized for use as components in systems intended for surgical implant into the body, or other applications intended to support or sustain life, or for any other application in which the failure of the SCILLC product could create a situation where personal injury or death may occur. Should Buyer purchase or use SCILLC products for any such unintended or unauthorized application, Buyer shall indemnify and hold SCILLC and its officers, employees, subsidiaries, affiliates, and distributors harmless against all claims, costs, damages, and expenses, and reasonable attorney fees arising out of, directly or indirectly, any claim of personal injury or death associated with such unintended or unauthorized use, even if such claim alleges that SCILLC was negligent regarding the design or manufacture of the part. SCILLC is an Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action Employer. This literature is subject to all applicable copyright laws and is not for resale in any manner.
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